THIS JUDGING RUBRIC IS PROVIDED TO ASSIST YOU IN YOUR EVALUATION OF THE ARIZONA PSYCHIATRIC SOCIETY ANNUAL MEETING POSTERS.

Likert Scale 0-5 (0 being unacceptable and 5 being outstanding)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Anchor</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Outstanding</td>
<td>Virtually flawless, with negligible weaknesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Very strong, but with some minor weaknesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Very Good</td>
<td>Strong, but with some moderate weaknesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Weak, but with some moderate strengths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Acceptable</td>
<td>Very weak, with some minor strengths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Unacceptable</td>
<td>Inadequate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CASE REPORT POSTERS:

**Originality**
Is case Unique?
Is reason for case clearly stated?
Does Case improve our understanding of disease?

**Case presentation**
Is case clearly stated?
Brevity, Clarity, Pertinence
Does data support the diagnosis?
Was case clearly written without grammatical or spelling errors?
Is case presentation logical?
Was discussion complete and logical?

**Visual Impact**
How effective was poster visually?
Was the content easy to read?
How valuable to the presentation were the figures, tables and graphs?

**Interview**
Was case presented clearly?
Was presenter knowledgeable about the literature and the case?
Did presenter answer questions appropriately?

RESEARCH POSTERS:

**Originality**
How relevant is the research?
Are the reasons for conducting the research apparent?
Does the research add to our knowledge?

**Methodology**
Is experimental design appropriate?
Is the data analysis appropriate?
Does data support the conclusion?
Was the poster clearly written without grammatical or spelling errors?

**Visual Impact**
How effective was poster visually?
Was the content easy to read?
How valuable to the presentation were the figures, tables and graphs?

**Interview**
Does the presenter understand the research?
Was presenter knowledgeable about the literature?
Did presenter answer questions appropriately?

PATIENT SAFETY/QUALITY IMPROVEMENT POSTERS:

**Originality**
How important is the identified problem?
Are the reasons for conducting the research apparent?
Does the research improve patient care?

**Methodology**
Is experimental design appropriate?
Is the data analysis appropriate?
Does data support the conclusion?
Was the poster clearly written without grammatical or spelling errors?

**Visual Impact**
How effective was poster visually?
Was the content easy to read?
How valuable to the presentation were the figures, tables and graphs?

**Interview**
Does the presenter understand the safety/quality issues?
Was presenter knowledgeable about the literature?
Did presenter answer questions appropriately?